Questions and Answers NHDOT Design-Build Project Harts Location - 16396A February 15, 2012

Question:

1) Please clear up the Scour responsibility.

Answer:

The Department has assumed the risk for the scour design given the variability and cost associated with the item. What is shown on the conceptual plans is the Department's preventative maintenance minimum requirements to address scour at this location. The Department would entertain a written question requesting a revision to the RFP allowing Section 3.1.1. #5 to be overridden by a DB team that wishes to perform their own scour design and assume the responsibility of such design. Otherwise, the DB team should price the project as specified and we could review a Value Engineering proposal after the award of the project (this in no way is meant to imply the Department will accept this as a VE item).

Question:

2) Are plans available for the temporary bridge (to aid in disassembly)?

Answer:

The temporary bridge is an Acrow series 300, Double Double Reinforced and there are no plans available for it or the concrete pads.

Question:

3) Does engineering count towards the 70% maximum of work performed by others, or is that strictly related to construction items?

Answer:

Yes, all work by the team counts.

Question:

4) We understand the testing equipment that needs to be provided (table in section 106.03), what type of trailer(s) and subsidiary equipment is the DOT going to require for this project (i.e. type c trailer and lab trailer or just lab trailer)?

Answer:

The Department will add this information to the RFP in the next Addendum.

Question:

5) Are there as-built plans that show the type and location of any existing cofferdam at the south abutment?

Answer:

The Department will review all of our information to see if anything shows an existing cofferdam and provide it to the DB teams.

Question:

6) Can the roadway guardrail be reused if it is in good condition?

Answer:

The intent of the project was to replace all guardrail components. The Department will revise the RFP to ensure this is clear and indicate if the existing guardrail should be salvaged to the Department in the next Addendum.

Question:

7) What are the pavement design requirements for the parking area?

Answer:

The Department will add this information to the RFP in the next Addendum.

Question:

8) RFP page 10 of 27: Item 5c is not included in the 50 page limit; Item 8. Says schedules are included in the 50 page limit. Which is correct?

Answer:

Will revised item 8 to remove schedule from description of items in next addendum

Question:

9) Is the DQMP and the CQMP IN the Technical Proposal or separate (as shown in Section 102)? It is understood that they count against the 50 pages. What isn't clear is if it needs to be separated as shown in the table in section 102.

Answer:

Item 5 should be included in the Technical Proposal, but separately indexed as noted under RFP Section 2.2 #5

Question:

10) Where is DBE Form E referenced in Division 100 under 102.03.2.4.2 "102.03.2.4.2 DBE Submittal Requirements. The Bidder shall submit Form E, if applicable, with the Price Proposal, identifying each of the DBE firms used to meet the DBE goal specified in the Project Requirements in the RFP."?

Answer:

See page 5 of Attachment 4 under Federal Requirements for this requirement, the Department will revise 102.03.2.4.2 under the next addendum.

Question:

11) RFP Page 10 of 27, number 7 says the proposal is to be numbered with tabs corresponding to the order set forth in the RFP. Where specifically is the tabbed order and numbers? The outline/order is unclear because it varies from Section 3 to Section 5. Can the Department provide a numbered outline to follow?

Answer:

Section 2.2 #7 is referencing the criteria listed under Section 3.1 of the RFP

Question:

12) Can we please get copies of the existing roadway plans that are readable, preferably in pdf form. Please include typical sections and cross sections.

Answer:

The Department will provide all existing roadway plans including typical sections and cross sections.

Question:

13) Was 403.99 used for the existing detour? Will similar pavement be acceptable for the connector to the proposed parking lot and the parking spaces?

Answer:

The Department will add this information to the RFP in the next Addendum.